IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANIEL LOREN JENKINS,

No. 2:16-cv-00247-YY

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

JOHN MYRICK, Superintendent Two Rivers Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge You issued a Findings and Recommendation on December 16, 2021, in which she recommends that this Court deny Petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus F&R, ECF 104. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Case 2:16-cv-00247-YY Document 122 Filed 03/27/22 Page 2 of 2

Petitioner filed timely objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and

Recommendation. Pet. Obj., ECF No. 114. When any party objects to any portion of the

Magistrate Judge's Findings & Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo

determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v.

Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121

(9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has carefully considered Petitioner's objections and concludes that there is no

basis to modify the Findings & Recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent

portions of the record de novo and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings &

Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge You's Findings and Recommendation [104].

Therefore, Petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [27] pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 2254 is DENIED. Because Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a

constitutional right, a Certificate of Appealability pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1)(A) is also

DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>March 27, 2022</u>

United States District Judge

2 - ORDER